PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

То:	ı				PCT	
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR		
				(1)	PCT Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1)	
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) se	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
nternational application No. PCT/EP2004/000134		International filing date (day/month/year) 12.01.2004		Priority date (day/month/year) 13.01.2003		
A61K3 Applicar	38/22, A61K38	/17, A61K38/2		and IPC		
HAPPI	OLD-HOERBI	RAND, GUGIUI	} 			
_	_		ons relating to the folk	owing items		
×	Box No. I	Basis of the op	_	owing items `	· ·	
	Box No. I Box No. II	Basis of the op Priority	pinion		ve sten and industrial annlicability	
	Box No. I	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish	pinion ment of opinion with rega		ve step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. II Box No. III	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity o Reasoned stat	pinion ment of opinion with rega f invention	ard to novelty, inventive.	novelty, inventive step or industrial	
	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity o Reasoned stat	pinion ment of opinion with rega f invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations	ard to novelty, inventive.	novelty, inventive step or industrial	
	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VIII	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity o Reasoned stat applicability; ci Certain docum Certain defects	nent of opinion with regar f invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations ents cited s in the international app	ard to novelty, inventiventions. 1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such state lication	novelty, inventive step or industrial	
	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VIII	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity o Reasoned stat applicability; ci Certain docum Certain defects	ninion ment of opinion with regal finvention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations ents cited	ard to novelty, inventiventions. 1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such state lication	novelty, inventive step or industrial	
	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VIII	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity o Reasoned stat applicability; ci Certain docum Certain defects Certain observ	nent of opinion with regar f invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations ents cited s in the international app	ard to novelty, inventiventions. 1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such state lication	novelty, inventive step or industrial	
2. F f whin	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIIII Box No. VIIII Box No. VIIII Box No. VIIII CURTHER ACTION	Basis of the op Priority Non-establishr Lack of unity o Reasoned stat applicability; ci Certain docum Certain defects Certain observ ON International preif the Internation poses an Author eau under Rule	pinion ment of opinion with regal finvention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations ents cited in the international apprations on the internation liminary examination is not preliminary Examining ity other than this one to	ard to novelty, inventive of the supporting such state the supporting such state the supporting such state the supporting Authority ("IPEA"). If the the IPEA and the supportion will be the IPEA and the supportion to the supportion of the support	novelty, inventive step or industrial	
Z. Ff which which we have the second of the	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII CURTHER ACTION Activation of the applicant characteristic and Burvill not be so confitting to the IPE	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; ci Certain docum Certain defects Certain observions ON International prefit the Internation obsess an Author eau under Rule insidered. as provided about a provided about a written replicate of mailing	ment of opinion with regard invention ement under Rule 43bis tations and explanations ents cited in the international approximations on the internation liminary examination is not all Preliminary Examining ity other than this one to 66.1bis(b) that written one over, considered to be a very together, where appropri	ard to novelty, invention 1(a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state lication al application ade, this opinion will a Authority ("IPEA"). He are the IPEA and the pinions of this Internativitten opinion of the lipriate, with amendme	novelty, inventive step or industrial ement usually be considered to be a dowever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the	
2. Fi which we see the	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII CURTHER ACTION A demand for invitten opinion of the applicant characteristic and burvill not be so continuous to the IPE months from the	Basis of the operation of the priority Non-establish of the priority Non-establish of the priority of the laternation of the priority of the Internation of the Int	ment of opinion with regard invention ement under Rule 43bis tations and explanations ents cited in the international apprations on the international liminary examination is not preliminary Examining ity other than this one to 66.1bis(b) that written one over, considered to be a sy together, where appropriate of Form PCT/ISA/220 or	ard to novelty, invention 1(a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state lication al application ade, this opinion will a Authority ("IPEA"). He are the IPEA and the pinions of this Internativitten opinion of the lipriate, with amendme	novelty, inventive step or industrial ement usually be considered to be a lowever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the tional Searching Authority IPEA, the applicant is invited to ents, before the expiration of three	

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

<u>)</u>

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Merckling-Ruiz, V

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8590



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/000134

_	Box	x N	o. I Basis of the opinion					
1.	 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 							
		lai	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international applic necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:								
	a. ty	ype	of material:					
		3	a sequence listing					
	[table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. format of material:							
	. (Ø	in written format					
	C	Ø	in computer readable form					
	c. ti	me	of filing/furnishing:					
	[contained in the international application as filed.					
	[3	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		Ø	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.					
4.	Add	itio	nal comments:					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/000134

اسب	Box No. II	Priority							
1. [☑ The following document has not been furnished:								
	⋈	riority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).							
		translation of the e	arlier appl	lication who	ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).				
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.								
2. [This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filling date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.								
3. <i>I</i>	Additional o	observations, if nece	essary:						
	Box No. V				Bbis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement				
1. 5	Statement								
١	Novelty (N)	ì	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-11,13-17 12				
ı	nventive st	ventive step (IS)		Claims Claims	1-11,13-17 12				
1	ndustrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-17				
2 (Citations o	nd avalanations							

see separate sheet

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents :
 - D1: WO 01/34181 A (RAO ERCOLE; RAPPOLD HOERBRAND GUDRUN (DE))
 17 May 2001 (2001-05-17)
 - D2: EP-A-1 260 228 (RAPPOLD HOERBRAND GUDRUN) 27 November 2002 (2002-11-27)
 - D3: RAO E. ET AL.: "The Leri-Weill syndrome homeobox gene SHOX encodes a cell-type specific transcriptional activator." HUMAN MOLECULAR GENETICS, vol. 10, no. 26, 2001, pages 3083-3091, XP002293247
 - D4: WO 02/074234 A (PROCHON BIOTECH LTD; YAYON AVNER (IL); GOLEMBO MYRIAM (IL)) 26 September 2002 (2002-09-26)
 - D5: DATABASE MEDLINE US NATIONAL LIBRARY OF MEDICINE (NLM), BETHESDA, MD, US; Abstract No. NLM1070532 2002, BETTENCOURT P.: "Bain natriuretic peptide (nesiritide) in the treatment of heart failure." XP002293248

Unless specified otherwise, the relevant passages are the ones that are cited in the Search Report.

Regarding point V

- 2. The use of natriuretic peptides ANP or BNP, alone or in combination with other active agents, for the manufacture of a medicament for treating short stature in patients suspected of having a defect in the SHOX gene has not been previously disclosed. Claims 1-11 and 17 are novel.
- 2.1 Claim 12 is directed to a composition comprising ANP or BNP, the other technical features being non-limiting for the scope of the claim. Such a composition is anticipated in D5.
- 2.3 There is no prior disclosure of a composition comprising ANP or BNP in combination with growth hormone or SHOX peptide. Claims 13-16 are novel.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/000134

- 3. The technical problem solved by the application is to provide a therapeutic agent for treating short stature related to a defect in the SHOX gene.
 - In D2, the same technical problem is solved by administering a SHOX peptide, alone or in combination with growth hormone, to patients having a defect in the SHOX gene. The relationship between expression of SHOX and ANP or BNP is not mentioned.
 - In D4, the natriuretic peptide CNP is used for stimulating bone elongation in patients with abnormal bone growth. ANP and BNP are mentioned (page 10 lines 18-22) but the whole application is directed to the use of CNP only. D4 is not an enabling disclosure for the use of ANP or BNP as therapeutic agents.
 - It follows that the subject matter of claims 1-11 and 13-17 is inventive over the prior art.